





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,533	04/02/2001	Jane Nichols	240.062US1	9422
21186	7590 04/29/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938			GEORGE, KONATA M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1616	12
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003	\mathcal{O}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

** **		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	•	09/824,533	NICHOLS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Konata M. George	1616			
Daniade	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fail - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	Fehruany 2003				
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	ion of Claims Claim(s), 1, 90 is/are pending in the application					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-89 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>9,10,15 and 18-78</u> is/are objected to.					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 5	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>10</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
		·				

Application/Control Number: 09/824,533

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-89 is pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on February 10, 2003 was noted and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Action Summary

2. The rejection of claims 1-25, 32, 34-44 and 51-81 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Hymes et al. in view of Ethier and Rolf et al. is hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25, 32, 34-44 and 51-81 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 10 contains the trademark/trade name VILMEDTM M1585 W/HY, VILMEDTM M1585H/HY, etc. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the

Application/Control Number: 09/824,533

Art Unit: 1616

trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a fluorocarbon solution and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132

5. The declaration filed by Alan C. Hymes with respect the assignment of the pending application and the prior art of reference to LecTec Corporation has been considered and thus the rejection of record is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-8, 11-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 11-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,495,158 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent discloses a specific medicament and the pending application is drawn to a medicament comprising a topical dermatitis drug. It is the position of the examiner that an acne drug falls in the broad category of a topical dermatitis drug; therefore it is not patently distinct.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,533

Art Unit: 1616

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9, 10, 15 and 18-78 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Claims 1-8, 11-14, 16 and 17 stand rejected.

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4646. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 5:30PM Monday to Thursday, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, José Dees, can be reached at (703) 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Konata M. George

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE!